On the classification of Kontsevich formality maps

Sergei Merkulov

Talk at the Colloquium on Pure Mathematics, Hamburg, 27th April 2021 based on the joint paper with Assar Andesson, arXiv:1911.09089

1. Formal Poisson structures

Let V be a finite-dimensional \mathbb{Z} -graded vector space over a field \mathbb{K} of characteristic zero (say, $V = \mathbb{R}^d$, $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}$) and $V^* := \text{Hom}(V, \mathbb{K})$ its dual.

 $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}} := \widehat{\odot}^{\bullet}V$ is the algebra of formal smooth functions on the dual vector space V^* understood as a formal manifold \mathcal{M} .

The Lie algebra of smooth vector fields = the Lie algebra of derivations of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}}$,

$$\mathcal{TM} := \operatorname{Der}(\mathcal{O}_V) \simeq \operatorname{Hom}(V, \widehat{\odot}^{\bullet}V) \simeq \prod_{m \geq 0} \operatorname{Hom}(V, \widehat{\odot}^m V),$$

The Lie algebra of polyvector fields:

$$\mathcal{T}_{poly}\mathcal{M} := \wedge^{\bullet} T_{\mathcal{M}} \simeq \prod_{m \geq 0, n \geq 0} \operatorname{Hom}(\wedge^{n} V, \odot^{m} V)[-n] = \prod_{k \geq 0} \odot^{k} (V^{*}[-1] \oplus V)$$

A formal graded Poisson structure on \mathcal{M} is a degree 2 element $\pi \in \mathcal{T}_{poly}\mathcal{M}$

$$\pi = \sum_{n,m=0}^{\infty} \pi_n^m, \quad \pi_n^m \in \operatorname{Hom}(\wedge^n V, \odot^m V)[2-n]$$

such that

$$[\pi,\pi]=0,$$

2. From formal Poisson structures to wheeled props

Interpret each homogeneous monomial π_n^m as an equivariant morphism of $\mathbb{S}^m \times \mathbb{S}^n$ -modules:

$$\pi_n^m : sgn_n \otimes 1_m[n-2] \longrightarrow \operatorname{Hom}(\otimes^n V, \otimes^m V)$$

The basis element of $sgn_n \otimes 1_m[n-2]$ is denoted by a graph with one vertex and n in-legs and m out-legs (called (m,n)-corolla, a flow is assumed to run from the bottom to the top),

$$\underbrace{ \sum_{1,2,\ldots,m-1}^{1,2,\ldots,m-1} m }_{1,2,\ldots,n-1} = sgn(\tau) \cdot \underbrace{ \sum_{\sigma(1),\sigma(2),\ldots,\sigma(m)}^{\sigma(1),\sigma(2),\ldots,\sigma(m)} }_{\sigma(1),\tau(2),\ldots,\tau(n)} \ \forall \sigma \in \mathbb{S}_m, \forall \tau \in \mathbb{S}_n$$

Why such a notation? Linear maps in $\operatorname{Hom}(\otimes^{\bullet}V, \otimes^{\bullet}V)$ can be composed = out-legs can be glued to in-legs.

Given a collection of maps $\{\pi_n^m\}$ as above, one can uniquely maps like these ones

$$\overset{1}{\searrow}^2 \xrightarrow{} \operatorname{Hom}(V, \otimes^3 V), \quad \overset{1}{\searrow}^2 \xrightarrow{} - \overset{1}{\bigvee}^2 \xrightarrow{} \operatorname{Hom}(\otimes^2 V, \otimes^2 V)$$

or

One must be careful: if V is *infinite*-dimensional, then the graph with closed path of directed edges called *wheels* (such as the second one just above), must be *prohibited*.

Let $\mathcal{H}olieb_{1,0}^{\star}$ be the vector space of all possible graphs obtained by gluing out-legs of the generating corollas to

$$= sgn(\tau) \cdot \underbrace{ \begin{array}{c} \frac{1}{2} \dots m-1 m \\ \frac{1}{2} \dots \frac{1}{n-1} n \end{array}}_{\tau(1)} = sgn(\tau) \cdot \underbrace{ \begin{array}{c} \sigma(1) \sigma(2) \dots \sigma(m) \\ \frac{1}{2} \dots \tau(n) \end{array}}_{\tau(1) \tau(2) \dots \tau(n)} \forall \sigma \in \mathbb{S}_m, \forall \tau \in \mathbb{S}_n$$

in-legs of (other) generating corollas with no wheels.

Let $\mathcal{H}olieb_{1,0}^{*\circlearrowright}$ be the vector space of all possible graphs obtained by gluing out-legs of the generating corollas to in-legs of (other) generating corollas with possibly wheels.

Both $\mathcal{H}olieb_{1,0}^{\star}$ and $\mathcal{H}olieb_{1,0}^{\star\circlearrowright}$ can be made into *complexes* by setting the differential to be given by its value on the generators as

$$\delta = \sum_{\substack{1 \ 2 \cdots m-1 \ n \ |I_1| \ge 0, |I_2| \ge 1 \ |I_1| \ge 1, |I_2| \ge 1}} \sum_{\substack{[1, \dots, m] = I_1 \sqcup I_2 \\ |I_1| \ge 0, |I_2| \ge 1 \ |I_1| \ge 1, |I_2| \ge 1}} \pm \sum_{\substack{I_1 \ I_2 \ I_1 \ I_2 \ I_2 \ |I_2| \ge 1}} \sum_{\substack{I_2 \ I_2 \ I_2 \ I_2 \ |I_2| \ge 1}} \pm \sum_{\substack{I_2 \ I_2 \ I_2 \ I_2 \ |I_2| \ge 1}} \sum_{\substack{I_2 \ I_2 \ I_2 \ I_2 \ |I_2| \ge 1}} \pm \sum_{\substack{I_2 \ I_2 \ I_2 \ I_2 \ |I_2| \ge 1}} \sum_{\substack{I_2 \ I_2 \ I_2 \ I_2 \ |I_2| \ge 1}} \pm \sum_{\substack{I_2 \ I_2 \ I_2 \ I_2 \ |I_2| \ge 1}} \sum_{\substack{I_2 \ I_2 \ I_2 \ I_2 \ |I_2| \ge 1}} \pm \sum_{\substack{I_2 \ I_2 \ I_2 \ I_2 \ |I_2| \ge 1}} \sum_{\substack{I_2 \ I_2 \ I_2 \ I_2 \ |I_2| \ge 1}} \pm \sum_{\substack{I_2 \ I_2 \ I_2 \ I_2 \ |I_2| \ge 1}} \pm \sum_{\substack{I_2 \ I_2 \ I_2 \ I_2 \ |I_2| \ge 1}} \pm \sum_{\substack{I_2 \ I_2 \ I_2 \ I_2 \ |I_2| \ge 1}} \pm \sum_{\substack{I_2 \ I_2 \ I_2 \ I_2 \ |I_2| \ge 1}} \pm \sum_{\substack{I_2 \ I_2 \ I_2 \ I_2 \ |I_2| \ge 1}} \pm \sum_{\substack{I_2 \ I_2 \ I_2 \ I_2 \ |I_2| \ge 1}} \pm \sum_{\substack{I_2 \ I_2 \ I_2 \ I_2 \ |I_2| \ge 1}} \pm \sum_{\substack{I_2 \ I_2 \ I_2 \ I_2 \ |I_2| \ge 1}} \pm \sum_{\substack{I_2 \ I_2 \ I_2 \ |I_2| \ge 1}} \pm \sum_{\substack{I_2 \ I_2 \ I_2 \ I_2 \ |I_2| \ge 1}} \pm \sum_{\substack{I_2 \ I_2 \ I_2 \ I_2 \ |I_2| \ge 1}} \pm \sum_{\substack{I_2 \ I_2 \ I_2 \ I_2 \ |I_2| \ge 1}} \pm \sum_{\substack{I_2 \ I_2 \ I_2 \ I_2 \ |I_2| \ge 1}} \pm \sum_{\substack{I_2 \ I_2 \ I_2 \ I_2 \ |I_2| \ge 1}} \pm \sum_{\substack{I_2 \ I_2 \ I_2 \ I_2 \ |I_2| \ge 1}} \pm \sum_{\substack{I_2 \ I_2 \ I_2 \ |I_2| \ge 1}} \pm \sum_{\substack{I_2 \ I_2 \ I_2 \ I_2 \ |I_2| \ge 1}} \pm \sum_{\substack{I_2 \ I_2 \ I_2 \ |I_2| \ge 1}} \pm \sum_{\substack{I_2 \ I_2 \ I_2 \ |I_2| \ge 1}} \pm \sum_{\substack{I_2 \ I_2 \ I_2 \ |I_2| \ge 1}} \pm \sum_{\substack{I_2 \ I_2 \ I_2 \ |I_2| \ge 1}} \pm \sum_{\substack{I_2 \ I_2 \ I_2 \ |I_2| \ge 1}} \pm \sum_{\substack{I_2 \ I_2 \ I_2 \ |I_2| \ge 1}} \pm \sum_{\substack{I_2 \ I_2 \ I_2 \ |I_2| \ge 1}} \pm \sum_{\substack{I_2 \ I_2 \ I_2 \ |I_2| \ge 1}} \pm \sum_{\substack{I_2 \ I_2 \ I_2 \ |I_2| \ge 1}} \pm \sum_{\substack{I_2 \ I_2 \ I_2 \ |I_2| \ge 1}} \pm \sum_{\substack{I_2 \ I_2 \ I_2 \ |I_2| \ge 1}} \pm \sum_{\substack{I_2 \ I_2 \ I_2 \ |I_2| \ge 1}} \pm \sum_{\substack{I_2 \ I_2 \ I_2 \ |I_2| \ge 1}} \pm \sum_{\substack{I_2 \ I_2 \ I_2 \ |I_2| \ge 1}} \pm \sum_{\substack{I_2 \ I_2 \ I_2 \ |I_2| \ge 1}} \pm \sum_{\substack{I_2 \ I_2 \ |I_2| \ge 1}} \pm \sum_{\substack{I_2 \ I_2 \ I_2 \ |I_2| \ge 1}} \pm \sum_{\substack{I_2 \ I_2 \ |I_2| \ge 1}} \pm \sum_$$

It takes care about the Schouten bracket in $\mathcal{T}_{poly}(\mathcal{M})$!

One can define similarly $\mathcal{H}olieb_{c,d}^{\star}$ and $\mathcal{H}olieb_{c,d}^{\star \circlearrowright}$ using the following generating corollas in degree 1 + c(1-m) + d(1-n)

$$= (-1)^{c|\sigma|+d|\tau|} = (-1)^{c|\sigma|+d|\tau|}$$

The superscript \star in the notation indicates that we consider in this paper an *extended version* of the (unwheeled) family of props $\mathcal{H}olieb_{c,d}$ studied earlier by [SM and Thomas Willwacher 2015]. The prop $\mathcal{H}olieb_{c,d}$ controls a *truncated* version of the above formal power series

$$\pi = \sum_{\substack{n,m \ge 1 \\ n+m \ge 3}}^{\infty} \pi_n^m, \quad \pi_n^m \in \text{Hom}(\wedge^n V, \odot^m V)[2-n]$$

Such a truncation makes perfect sense in the context of the theory of minimal resolutions of (c, d) Lie bialgebras, the case c = d = 1 corresponding to ordinary Lie bialgebras.

In [SM and Thomas Willwacher 2015] we computed the complex of derivations (infinitesimal deformations) of the prop $\mathcal{H}olieb_{c,d}$ and proved

$$H^{\bullet}(\operatorname{Der}(\mathcal{H}olieb_{c,d})) = H^{\bullet}(\mathsf{fGC}_{c+d})$$

where

$$\mathsf{fGC}_{c+d}^{\geq 2} := \widehat{\odot^{\bullet}} \left((\mathsf{GC}_{c+d}^{\geq 2} \oplus \mathbb{K}) [-c-d] \right) [c+d],$$

and GC_{c+d} is the classical the Kontsevich graphs complex of connected graphs like this one

$$+\frac{5}{2}$$

(with an empty graph added as a non-trivial element).

The case fGC_2 (i.e. the case c=d=1 of ordinary Lie bialgebras) is of special interest as Thomas Willwacher (2010) has proven

$$H^0(\mathsf{fGC}_2) = \mathfrak{grt}$$

where grt is the Lie algebra of the famous Grothendieck-Teichmüller group GRT.

CONCLUSION FROM

$$H^{\bullet}(\operatorname{Der}(\mathcal{H}olieb_{c,d})) = H^{\bullet}(\mathsf{fGC}_{c+d})$$

[SM and Thomas Willwacher 2015]:

- (i) The Grothendieck-Teichmüller group GRT acts faithfully (and essentially transitively) on the completion of the properad $\mathcal{H}olieb_{1,1}$ governing ordinary Lie bialgebras, up to homotopy.
- (ii) The same is true for *involutive* Lie bialgebras.

This result was used in [SM and Thomas Willwacher 2016] to classify all equivalence classes of deformation quantizations of (possibly *infinite*-dimensional) Lie bialgebras

 $\{\text{the set of such quantizations}\} = \{\text{the set } \mathcal{DA} \text{ of Drinfeld associators}\}.$

What about $\mathcal{H}olieb_{1,1}^{\mathbb{C}}$ and what about homotopy classes of deformation quantizations of only *finite*-dimensional Lie bialgebras. This is a much more difficult case comparing to the above story $\mathcal{H}olieb_{1,1}$.

Conjecture [SM]

the set of such quantizations = $\mathcal{DA} \times \mathcal{DA} \simeq \mathcal{DA} \times GRT$

What it means: in finite dimensions the dualization endofunctor which exchanges Lie and co-Lie (resp. Ass and co-Ass structures) structures does *not* commute with the deformation quantization functor even up to homotopy equivalence. The difference is controlled by the second factor.

The first main purpose of the present work is the study of the deformation theory of the full prop $\mathcal{H}olieb_{c,d}^{\star_{\bigcirc}}$ (in fact of their completed versions) which, for c=0, d=1 controls finite-dimensional Poisson structures. This is much easier that the case $\mathcal{H}olieb_{c,d}$ considered earlier!

Theorem [Assar Andersson and SM, 2019] There is an isomorphism of Lie algebras

$$H^{\bullet}(\operatorname{Der}(\mathcal{H}olieb_{c,d}^{\star \circlearrowright})) = H^{\bullet}(\mathsf{fGC}_{c+d})$$

In particular,

$$H^0(\operatorname{Der}(\mathcal{H}olieb_{0,1}^{\, ullet \, \circlearrowright})) = \mathfrak{grt}$$

By contrast

$$H^0(\operatorname{Der}(\mathcal{H}olieb_{0,1}^{\star})) = 0$$

that is, the completion of the prop $\mathcal{H}olieb_{1,0}^{\star}$ governing *infinite*-dimensional formal Poisson structures admits no homotopy non-trivial derivations (up to rescalings).

3. Homotopy classification of M. Kontsevich formality maps

The operad of strongly homotopy curved associative algebras $cAss_{\infty}$ is generated by the following family of planar corollas in degree 2-n

$$\left(\begin{array}{ccc} & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & &$$

It is equipped with the differential given on the generators by

$$\delta = \sum_{k=0}^{n} \sum_{l=0}^{n-k} (-1)^{k+l(n-k-l)+1}$$

$$\sum_{k+1,\ldots,k+l} \sum_{k+1,\ldots,k+l} (k+l+1) \cdots n$$

Maxim Kontsevich (1997) formality map associates

$$\{\text{any formal Poisson structure } \pi \text{ on } V^*\} \ \Rightarrow \{\mathcal{A}ss_{\infty}\text{-structure on } \widehat{\odot^{\bullet}}V\}$$

given in terms of polydifferential operators constructed from π .

In our approach:

the l.h.s. is a representation of $\mathcal{H}olieb_{1,0}^{\star_{\bigcirc}}$ in V,

the r.h.s. is a representation of $c\mathcal{A}ss_{\infty}$ in $\widehat{\odot}^{\bullet}V$,

There is an exact polydifferential functor constructed in [SM and Thomas Willwacher 2015, a paper on ribbon graphs]

$$\mathcal{O}:\mathsf{Category}$$
 of dg props $\longrightarrow\mathsf{Category}$ of dg operads

which has the property:

given any dg wheeled prop $\mathcal P$ and its representation in a vector space V there is a canonically associated dg operad $\mathcal O(\mathcal P)$ and its representation in $\widehat{\odot}^{\bullet}V.$

Applying \mathcal{O} to $\mathcal{H}olieb_{1,0}^{\star \circlearrowleft}$ we get a dg operad $\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{H}olieb_{1,0}^{\star \circlearrowleft})$. The functor acts explicitly as follows:

Given, say, an element

$$e = \bigvee_{\substack{1 \ 456}}^{2} \widehat{\mathcal{H}olieb}_{0,1}^{\star \circ}$$

it can generate an element

Maxim Kontsevich (1997) universal formality map (or any other universal formality map) can be understood now as a morphism of dg operads

$$\mathcal{F}: c\mathcal{A}ss_{\infty} \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}(\widehat{\mathcal{H}olieb}_{0,1}^{\star \circlearrowright}).$$

satisfying the following non-triviality condition

$$\mathcal{F}\left(\begin{array}{c} & \bigcirc \\ & \bigcirc \\ & \bigcirc \\ & \bigcirc \end{array}\right) = \left\{\begin{array}{c} \bigcirc \\ & \bigcirc \\ & \bigcirc \\ & \bigcirc \end{array}\right. + \sum_{p \geq 0} \frac{1}{p!} \left(\begin{array}{c} \bigcirc \\ & \bigcirc \end{array}\right) + O(2) \quad \text{if } n = 2$$

$$\sum_{p \geq 0} \frac{1}{p!} \left(\begin{array}{c} \bigcirc \\ & \bigcirc \end{array}\right) + O(2) \quad \text{otherwise}$$

where the summations $\sum_{p\geq 0}$ run over the number of edges connecting the internal vertex to the external out-vertex and O(2) stands for the space graphs with the number of internal vertices ≥ 2 .

To classify M.Konstevich formality maps one has to compute cohomology of

$$\mathsf{Def}\left(c\mathcal{A}ss_{\infty} \overset{\mathcal{F}}{\longrightarrow} \mathcal{O}(\widehat{\mathcal{H}\mathit{olieb}}_{0,1}^{\bigstar\circlearrowright})\right)$$

which controls the deformation theory of any formality map \mathcal{F} (see e.g. SM and Bruno Vallette (2007) for a generic construction of such deformation complexes).

Our second main result is the computation of its cohomology in terms of the M. Kontsevich graph complex $\mathsf{fGC}_2^{\geq 2}$.

Theorem [Assar Andersson and SM (2019)] Then there is a canonical morphism of complexes

$$\mathsf{fGC}_2 \longrightarrow \mathsf{Def}\left(c\mathcal{A}ss_\infty \stackrel{\mathcal{F}}{\rightarrow} \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{H}olieb_{0,1}^{\,\star\,\circlearrowright})\right)[1]$$

which is a quasi-isomorphism.

Proof is very short: it uses contractibility of the permutahedra cell complexes.

Hence

$$H^{i+1}\left(\mathsf{Def}\left(c\mathcal{A}ss_{\infty}\overset{\mathcal{F}}{\to}\mathcal{O}(\widehat{\mathcal{H}olieb}_{0,1}^{\ \, \star\circlearrowright})\right)\right)=H^{i}(\mathsf{fGC}_{2})$$

which in the special case i = 0 reads as

$$H^1\left(\mathsf{Def}\left(c\mathcal{A}ss_\infty\stackrel{\mathcal{F}}{\to}\mathcal{O}(\widehat{\mathcal{H}olieb}_{0,1}^{\,\bigstar\circlearrowright})\right)\right)=H^0(\mathsf{fGC}_2)=\mathfrak{grt}$$

and hence gives us a new (very short) proof of the following remarkable Theorem by V. Dolgushev.

Theorem [Vasily Dolgushev (2011)] The Grothendieck-Teichmüller group GRT acts freely and transitively on the set of homotopy classes of universal Kontsevich formality morphisms.

This Theorem implies the identification of the set of homotopy classes of formality maps with the set of V. Drinfeld associators.

References

- [AM] A. Andersson and S. A. Merkulov, From deformation theory of wheeled props to classification of Kontsevich formality maps, preprint arXiv:1911.09089 (2019)
- [Do] V. Dolgushev, Stable Formality Quasi-isomorphisms for Hochschild Cochains I, arXiv:1109.6031 (2011)
- [D] V. Drinfeld, On quasitriangular quasi-Hopf algebras and a group closely connected with $Gal(\bar{Q}/Q)$, Leningrad Math. J. 2, No. 4 (1991), 829-860.
- [K1] M. Kontsevich, Formality Conjecture, In: D. Sternheimer et al. (eds.), Deformation Theory and Symplectic Geometry, Kluwer 1997, 139-156.
- [K2] M. Kontsevich, Deformation quantization of Poisson manifolds, Lett. Math. Phys. 66 (2003), 157-216.
- [MMS] M. Markl, S. Merkulov and S. Shadrin, Wheeled props and the master equation, preprint math.AG/0610683, J. Pure and Appl. Algebra 213 (2009), 496-535.
- [Me1] S.A. Merkulov, Prop profile of Poisson geometry, Commun. Math. Phys. 262 (2006), 117-135.
- [Me2] S.A. Merkulov, Graph complexes with loops and wheels. In: "Algebra, Arithmetic and Geometry - Manin Festschrift" (eds. Yu. Tschinkel and Yu. Zarhin), Progress in Mathematics, Birkhaüser (2010) 311-354.
- [Me3] S.A. Merkulov, Wheeled props in algebra, geometry and quantization. In: Proceedings of the 5th European Congress of Mathematics, Amsterdam, 14-18 July, 2008. EMS Publishing House, 2010, pp. 84-114.
- [MV] S.A. Merkulov and B. Vallette, Deformation theory of representations of prop(erad)s I & II, Journal für die reine und angewandte Mathematik (Crelle) 634, 51–106, & 636, 123–174 (2009).
- [MW1] S. Merkulov and T. Willwacher, Deformation theory of Lie bialgebra properads, In: Geometry and Physics: A Festschrift in honour of Nigel Hitchin, Oxford University Press 2018, pp. 219-248.
- [MW2] S. Merkulov and T. Willwacher, Props of ribbon graphs, involutive Lie bialgebras and moduli spaces of curves, preprint arXiv:1511.07808 (2015) 51pp.
- [MW3] S.A. Merkulov and T. Willwacher, Classification of universal formality maps for quantizations of Lie bialgebras, preprint arXiv:1605.01282 (2016)
- [V] B. Vallette, A Koszul duality for props, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 359 (2007), 4865–4943.
- [W1] T. Willwacher, M. Kontsevich's graph complex and the Grothendieck-Teichmüller Lie algebra, Invent. Math. 200 (2015), no. 3, 671–760.
- [W2] T. Willwacher, The oriented graph complexes, Comm. Math. Phys. 334 (2015), no. 3, 1649– 1666.